REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register:	17 July 2000
Name of applicant/court:	New Zealand Police
Applicant to the Labelling Body:	Not Applicable
Title of Publication:	EroticBabe -#1 Teenslut Wonderland Page 1 Of 2
Other Known Titles:	Not Stated
Director:	Not Applicable
Producer:	Not Applicable
Publisher:	Not Applicable
Author:	Not Stated
Format:	Computer Printout
Country of Origin:	Not Applicable
Language:	English

• •

Components of film originally examined: Not Applicable.

Feature: Running time:

Trailers: Running time:

Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

… Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

● ●

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

● ●

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The publication *EroticBabe -#1 Teenslut Wonderland Page 1 Of 2* is classified as: Objectionable.

The publication is a black and white computer printout on a sheet of A4 paper, and has been downloaded from a sexually explicit website. The printout is made up of sexually explicit photographs and sexually explicit captions and lists of sexual activities depicted on the website.

The publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes and the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. The computer printout lists references to young persons and urination alongside explicit photographs of a variety of sexual activities. The references are presented as part of the sexually explicit and arousing content of the advertised website. The computer printout therefore legitimises and encourages these activities, by offering this content as a sexually arousing attraction.

The provisions of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act) have been taken into account in determining this classification. The computer printout falls under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993. It is therefore deemed to be objectionable. A classification of "objectionable" places a limit on the freedom of expression set out in s14 of the NZBR Act. However, in relation to this publication, the classification is considered to be a reasonable limit prescribed by law that can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

OFLC Ref: 396